

**This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning  
Operations and is not part of the Official Record**

**BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES**

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

- BLACK BORDERS**
- IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES**
- FADED TEXT OR DRAWING**
- BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING**
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES**
- COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS**
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS**
- LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT**
- REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY**
- OTHER: \_\_\_\_\_**

**IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.**

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.

(12) INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization  
International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date  
3 January 2002 (03.01.2002)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number  
**WO 02/01794 A2**

(51) International Patent Classification<sup>7</sup>: **H04L 9/32**

(21) International Application Number: **PCT/US01/19223**

(22) International Filing Date: 14 June 2001 (14.06.2001)

(25) Filing Language: English

(26) Publication Language: English

(30) Priority Data:  
09/605,605 28 June 2000 (28.06.2000) US

(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): **INTEL CORPORATION** [US/US]; 2200 Mission College Boulevard, Santa Clara, CA 95052 (US).

(72) Inventors; and

(75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): **ELLISON, Carl** [US/US]; 1818 NW 28th Avenue, Portland, OR 97210 (US). **SUTTON, James, II** [US/US]; 20205 NW Paulina Drive, Portland, OR 97229 (US).

(74) Agent: **MALLIE, Michael, J.**; Blakely Sokoloff Taylor & Zafman, 7th Floor, 12400 Wilshire Boulevard, Los Angeles, CA 90025 (US).

(81) Designated States (national): AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VN, YU, ZA, ZW.

(84) Designated States (regional): ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE, TR), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).


**Published:**

— without international search report and to be republished upon receipt of that report

For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.

(54) Title: A PLATFORM AND METHOD FOR ESTABLISHING PROVABLE IDENTITIES WHILE MAINTAINING PRIVACY

**WO 02/01794 A2**



(57) **Abstract:** In one embodiment, a method for utilizing a pseudonym to protect the identity of a platform and its user is described. The method comprises producing a pseudonym that includes a public pseudonym key. The public pseudonym key is placed in a certificate template. Hash operations are performed on the certificate template to produce a certificate hash value, which is transformed from the platform. Thereafter, a signed result is returned to the platform. The signed result is a digital signature for the transformed certificate hash value. Upon performing an inverse transformation of the signed result, a digital signature of the certificate hash values is recovered. This digital signature may be used for data integrity checks for subsequent communications using the pseudonym.

**A PLATFORM AND METHOD FOR ESTABLISHING PROVABLE IDENTITIES  
WHILE MAINTAINING PRIVACY**

Field

5        This invention relates to the field of data security. In particular, the invention relates to a platform and method that protects an identity of the platform through creation and use of pseudonyms.

Background

10      Advances in technology have opened up many opportunities for applications that go beyond the traditional ways of doing business. Electronic commerce (e-commerce) and business-to-business (B2B) transactions are now becoming popular, reaching the global markets at a fast rate. Unfortunately, while electronic platforms like computers provide users with convenient and efficient methods of doing business, communicating and  
15     transacting, they are also vulnerable for unscrupulous attacks. This vulnerability has substantially hindered the willingness of content providers from providing their content in a downloaded, digital format.

Currently, various mechanisms have been proposed to verify the identity of a platform. This is especially useful to determine if the platform features a “trusted” device;  
20     namely, the device is configured to prevent digital content from being copied in a non-encrypted format without authorization. One verification scheme involves the use of a unique serial number assigned to a platform for identification of that platform. Another verification scheme, performed either independently from or cooperatively with the previously described verification scheme, involves the use of a permanent key pair. The  
25     permanent key pair includes (i) a unique public key that identifies the platform and (ii) a private key that is permanently stored in memory of the trusted device. The private key is confidential and is not provided outside the trusted device. However, these verification schemes pose a number of disadvantages.

For example, each of these verification schemes is still subject to data aggregation  
30     attacks. “Data aggregation” involves the collection and analysis of data transmitted from a platform over a period of time. Thus, the use of platform serial numbers and permanent keys for identification purposes has recently lead to consumer privacy concerns. Also, for both verification mechanisms, a user cannot easily and reliably control access to and use of the platform identity on a per-use basis.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

The features and advantages of the present invention will become apparent from the following detailed description of the present invention in which:

5       Figure 1 is a block diagram of an illustrative embodiment of a system utilizing the present invention.

Figure 2 is a block diagram of an illustrative embodiment of the trusted logic employed within the first platform of Figure 1.

10      Figure 3 is a flowchart of an illustrative embodiment describing allocation and use of a pseudonym produced within the first platform of Figure 1.

Figures 4 and 5 are flowcharts of an illustrative embodiment of the production and certification of pseudonyms.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

15      The present invention relates to a platform and method for protecting the identity of the platform through the creation and use of pseudonyms. Herein, certain details are set forth in order to provide a thorough understanding of the present invention. It is apparent to a person of ordinary skill in the art, however, that the present invention may be practiced through many embodiments other than those illustrated. Well-known circuits  
20 and cryptographic techniques are not set forth in detail in order to avoid unnecessarily obscuring the present invention.

In the following description, terminology is used to discuss certain features of the present invention. For example, a "platform" includes hardware and/or software that process information. Examples of a platform include, but are not limited or restricted to  
25 any of the following: a computer (e.g., desktop, a laptop, a hand-held, a server, a workstation, etc.); data transmission equipment (e.g., a router, switch, facsimile machine, etc.), wireless equipment (e.g., cellular base station, telephone handset, etc.); or television set-top box. "Software" includes code that, when executed, performs a certain function. "Information" is defined as one or more bits of data, address, and/or control.

30      With respect to cryptographic functionality, a "cryptographic operation" is an operation performed for additional security on information. These operations may include encryption, decryption, hash computations, and the like. In certain cases, the cryptographic operation requires the use of a key, which is a series of bits. For

asymmetric key cryptography, a device is associated with unique, permanent key pair that includes a public key and a private key.

- In addition, asymmetric key cryptography normally utilizes a root certificate. A “root certificate” is a public key at the origination of a digital certificate chain and
- 5 provides a starting point for all subsequent digital certificates. In general, a “digital certificate” includes information used to authenticate a sender of information. For example, in accordance with CCITT Recommendation X.509: The Directory - Authentication Framework (1988), a digital certificate may include information (e.g., a key) concerning a person or entity being certified, namely encrypted using the private key
- 10 of a certification authority. Examples of a “certification authority” include an original equipment manufacturer (OEM), a software vendor, a trade association, a governmental entity, a bank or any other trusted business or person. A “digital certificate chain” includes an ordered sequence of two or more digital certificates arranged for authorization purposes as described below, where each successive certificate represents the issuer of the
- 15 preceding certificate.

A “digital signature” includes digital information signed with a private key of its signatory to ensure that the digital information has not been illicitly modified after being digitally signed. This digital information may be provided in its entirety or as a hash value produced by a one-way hash operation.

- 20 A “hash operation” is a one-way conversion of information to a fixed-length representation referred to as a “hash value”. Often, the hash value is substantially less in size than the original information. It is contemplated that, in some cases, a 1:1 conversion of the original information may be performed. The term “one-way” indicates that there does not readily exist an inverse function to recover any discernible portion of the original
- 25 information from the fixed-length hash value. Examples of a hash function include MD5 provided by RSA Data Security of Redwood City, California, or Secure Hash Algorithm (SHA-1) as specified a 1995 publication Secure Hash Standard FIPS 180-1 entitled “Federal Information Processing Standards Publication” (April 17, 1995).

- Referring to Figure 1, a block diagram of an illustrative embodiment of a system
- 30 100 utilizing the present invention is shown. The system 100 comprises a first platform 110 and a second platform 120. First platform 110 is in communication with second platform 120 via a link 130. A “link” is broadly defined as one or more information-carrying mediums (e.g., electrical wire, optical fiber, cable, bus, or wireless signaling technology). When requested by the user, first platform 110 generates and transmits a

pseudonym public key 140 (described below) to second platform 120. In response, second platform 120 is responsible for certifying, when applicable, that pseudonym public key 140 was generated by a trusted device 150 within first platform 110.

- Referring now to Figure 2, in one embodiment, trusted device 150 comprises
- 5 hardware and/or protected software. Software is deemed “protected” when access control schemes are employed to prevent unauthorized access to any routine or subroutine of the software. More specifically, device 150 is one or more integrated circuits that prevents tampering or snooping from other logic. The integrated circuit(s) may be placed in a single integrated circuit (IC) package or a multi-IC package. A package provides
- 10 additional protection against tampering. Of course, device 150 could be employed without any IC packaging if additional protection is not desired.

Herein, device 150 comprises a processing unit 200 and a persistent memory 210 (e.g., non-volatile, battery-backed random access memory “RAM”, etc.). Processing unit 200 is hardware that is controlled by software that internally processes information. For 15 example, processing unit 200 can perform hash operations, perform logical operations (e.g. multiplication, division, etc.), and/or produce a digital signature by digitally signing information using the Digital Signature Algorithm. Persistent memory 210 contains a unique asymmetric key pair 220 programmed during manufacture. Used for certifying pseudonyms, asymmetric key pair 220 includes a public key (PUKP1) 230 and a private 20 key (PRKP1) 240. Persistent memory 210 may further include a public key 250 (PUKP2) of second platform 120, although it may be placed in volatile memory (e.g., RAM, register set, etc.) within device 150 if applicable.

In this embodiment, device 150 further comprises a number generator 260 such as a random number generator or a pseudo-random number generator. Number generator 260 is responsible for generating a bit stream that is used, at least in part, to produce one or more pseudonyms. A “pseudonym” is an alias identity in the form of an alternate key pair used to establish protected communications with another platform and to identify that its platform includes trusted device 150. The pseudonym also supports a challenge/response protocol and a binding of licensing, secrets and other access control 25 information to the specific platform. It is contemplated, however, that number generator 260 may be employed externally from device 150. In that event, the greater security 30 would be realized by platform 110 if communications between number generator 260 and device 150 were protected.

Referring to Figure 3, a flowchart of an illustrative embodiment describing allocation and use of a pseudonym is shown. To fully protect the user's privacy, the user should have positive control of the production, allocation and deletion of pseudonyms. Thus, in response to explicit user consent, a new pseudonym is produced (blocks 300 and 5 310). Also, to access information (e.g., label, public key, etc.) that identifies an existing pseudonym, explicit user consent is needed (blocks 320 and 330). Explicit user consent may be given by supplying a pass-phrase (e.g., series of alphanumeric characters), a token, and/or a biometric characteristic to the trusted device. For example, in one embodiment, a user pass-phrase may be entered through a user input device (e.g., a keyboard, mouse, 10 keypad, joystick, touch pad, track ball, etc.) and transferred to the trusted device. In another embodiment, memory external to the logic may contain pseudonyms encrypted with a hash value of a user pass-phrase. Any of these pseudonyms can be decrypted for use by again supplying the user pass-phrase.

Once a pseudonym has been produced and allocated for use in communications 15 with a remote platform, this pseudonym represents the persistent platform identity for that platform/platform communications, so long as the user chooses to retain the pseudonym (blocks 340, 350 and 360).

Referring now to Figures 4 and 5, flowcharts of an illustrative embodiment of the production and certification of pseudonyms are shown. Initially, upon receiving a request 20 by the user, the pseudonym is produced by the device in coordination with a number (block 400). A pseudonym public key (PPUKP1) is placed in a digital certificate template (block 405). The digital certificate template may be internally stored within the first platform or provided by the second platform in response to a request for certification from the first platform. Thereafter, the digital certificate template undergoes a hash operation to 25 produce a certificate hash value (block 410).

Thereafter, the certificate hash value undergoes a transformation similar to that described in U.S. Patent Nos. 4,759,063 and 4,759,064 to create a "blinded" certificate hash value (block 415). In particular, the certificate hash value is multiplied by a pseudo-random number (e.g., a predetermined number raised to a power that is pseudo-randomly selected). The pseudo-random power is maintained in confidence within the first platform 30 (e.g., placed in persistent memory 210 of Figure 2).

A certification request, including at least the transformed (or blinded) certificate hash value, is created (block 420). The certification request is digitally signed with the private key (PRKP1) of the first platform (block 425). A device certificate, namely a

digital certificate chain that includes the public key (PUKP1) of the first platform in one embodiment, is retrieved or generated to accompany the signed certificate request (block 430). In this embodiment, the device certificate features a high-level certificate including PUKP1 and a lowest level certificate including the root certificate. Of course, the device  
5 certificate may be a single digital certificate including PUKP1. Both the signed certificate request and device certificate are encrypted with the public key (PUKP2) of the second platform and then transferred to the second platform (blocks 435 and 440).

At the second platform, the signed certificate request and device certificate are recovered after being decrypted using the private key (PRKP2) of the second platform  
10 (block 445). The public key (PUKP1) of the first platform may be obtained using a public key of the certification authority responsible for signing the device certificate (block 450). If the second platform can recover the certificate request, the second platform verifies the device certificate back to the root certificate (blocks 455 and 460). If the certificate request is recovered and the device certificate is verified, the transformed (or blinded)  
15 certificate hash value is digitally signed to produce a “signed result” (block 465). Otherwise, if either the transformed (or blinded) certificate hash value cannot be determined or the device certificate cannot be verified, an error message is returned to the first platform (block 470).

Upon receipt of the signed result from the second platform, the first platform  
20 performs an inverse transformation on the signal result. For example, in this illustrative embodiment, the first platform divides the signed result by an inverse of the pseudo-random number (e.g., the predetermined number raised to an inverse of the pseudo-random power) to recover a digital signature of the certificate hash value (blocks 475 and 480). The digital signature is stored with one or more pseudonyms for use in subsequent  
25 communications with other platforms to identify that the first platform includes a trusted device.

While this invention has been described with reference to illustrative embodiments, this description is not intended to be construed in a limiting sense. Various modifications of the illustrative embodiments, as well as other embodiments of the invention, which are  
30 apparent to persons skilled in the art to which the invention pertains are deemed to lie within the spirit and scope of the invention.

CLAIMS

What is claimed is:

1. A method comprising:
  - producing a pseudonym including a public pseudonym key within a platform;
  - 5 placing the public pseudonym key into a certificate template;
  - performing a hash operation on the certificate template to produce a certificate hash value;
  - performing a transformation on the certificate hash value for transmission from the platform;
- 10 receiving a signed result being a digital signature for the transformed certificate hash value; and
  - performing an inverse transformation on the signed result to recover a digital signature of the certificate hash value.
2. The method of claim 1, wherein the producing of the pseudonym includes
  - 15 generating the public pseudonym key and a private pseudonym key corresponding to the public pseudonym key.
3. The method of claim 1, wherein the placing of the public pseudonym key into the certificate template includes writing the public pseudonym key into a field of the certificate template.
- 20 4. The method of claim 1, wherein the performing of the transformation comprises:
  - performing a logical operation on the certificate hash value using a pseudo-random number to produce a value differing from the certificate hash value.
5. The method of claim 4, wherein the pseudo-random number is a
  - 25 predetermined value raised to an inverse power designated by a pseudo-random value.

6. The method of claim 5, wherein the pseudo-random value is stored in secure memory.

7. The method of claim 4, wherein the performing of the inverse transformation comprises performing a logical operation on the signed result using an inverse of the pseudo-random number.

8. The method of claim 1, wherein prior to receiving the digital signature, the method comprises:

digitally signing a certification request, including the transformed certificate hash value, with a private key of a first platform to produce a signed certification request.

10 9. The method of claim 8, wherein prior to receiving the digital signature, the method further comprises:

obtaining a device certificate being a digital certificate chain that includes a public key of a first platform, to accompany the signed certificate request

10. The method of claim 9, wherein prior to receiving the digital signature, the method further comprises:

transferring the signed certificate request and the device certificate to a second platform.

11. The method of claim 11 further comprising:  
storing the digital signature of the certificate hash value for use in subsequent communications to a remotely located platform.

12. A device comprising:  
a processing unit; and  
a persistent memory including a first key pair and at least one pseudonym for use in communications with a remotely located device and in identifying that a platform containing the device is secure.

13. The device of claim 12, wherein the at least one pseudonym includes a second key pair.

14. The device of claim 13, wherein the second key pair is erased after a communication session with the remotely located device has concluded.

5 15. The device of claim 12 further comprising:  
a number generator to assist in producing the at least one pseudonym.

16. A platform comprising:  
a transceiver; and  
a device in communication with the transceiver, the device including a persistent  
10 memory to contain a permanent key pair, at least one pseudonym generated internally  
within the device and a digital signature of a hash value of a digital certificate chain that  
includes a public pseudonym key of the pseudonym.

17. The platform of claim 16, wherein the device further includes:  
a processing unit to (i) write the public pseudonym key into a certificate template,  
15 (ii) perform a hash operation on the certificate template to produce a certificate hash value,  
(iii) to perform a transformation operation on the certificate hash value.

18. The platform of claim 17, wherein the processing unit of the device further produces a digital signature of at least the transformed certificate hash value using a private key of the permanent key pair.

20 19. The platform of claim 16, wherein the processing unit of the device further appending a device certificate with the digital signature of at least the transformed certificate hash value.

20. The platform of claim 19, wherein the device certificate is the digital certificate chain.

1/4




FIG. 1




FIG. 2

2/4




FIG. 3

3/4




FIG. 4

4/4




FIG. 5

## **TRANSMITTAL FORM**

Attorney: MLW  
Client: Intel Corporation  
Ref. No.: 42.P16032PCT

From: Foreign Filing Department Sunnyvale  
Blakely, Sokoloff, Taylor & Zafman LLP  
Date: 7/7/04

Copies of the following foreign correspondence are enclosed for your files:

### **DOCUMENTS**

- PCT Application
- International Search Report
- PCT Written Opinion
- Return Postcard
- Demand for International Preliminary Examination
- International Publication
- International Preliminary Examination Report
- Formal Drawings
- Invitation to Correct Defects in PCT Application
- Response to Invitation to Correct Defects
- Request to Rescind Publication Request
- Confirmation of National Phase Filing (Countries) \_\_\_\_\_
- Foreign Office Action
- Other: Invitation to pay additional fees \_\_\_\_\_

Due date:

## **TRANSMITTAL FORM**

Attorney: MLW  
Client: Intel Corporation  
Ref. No.: 42.P16032PCT

From: Foreign Filing Department Sunnyvale  
Blakely, Sokoloff, Taylor & Zafman LLP  
Date: 7/7/04

Copies of the following foreign correspondence are enclosed for your files:

### **DOCUMENTS**

- PCT Application
- International Search Report
- PCT Written Opinion
- Return Postcard
- Demand for International Preliminary Examination
- International Publication
- International Preliminary Examination Report
- Formal Drawings
- Invitation to Correct Defects in PCT Application
- Response to Invitation to Correct Defects
- Request to Rescind Publication Request
- Confirmation of National Phase Filing (Countries) \_\_\_\_\_
- Foreign Office Action
- Other: Invitation to pay additional fees \_\_\_\_\_

Due date: